Tim Mader-Brown [10:20 PM]

of course

you never need to ask to make a pull request

you can always make one

if the PR isn't ready for review, just start the name with `WIP:`

………………………..

Tim Mader-Brown [4:08 AM]

I did some work on your branch...I'll put it in this branch

TAI-356\_tmb

I'll try to do some more to it when I get home

just trying to get rid of the hard waits

and making steps as reusable as possible

like using `And click 'Save' button, wait until it disappears` instead of `And click 'Save' button`

Tim Mader-Brown [10:22 PM]

and make sure you don't have reviewer on it either (if it's not ready for review)

otherwise you can always make it

it's all in your data setup

…………………...

Tim Mader-Brown [1:12 AM]

well, i'm not going to be working much this weekend

you can leave questions for me

cool, yea you should do that

yea, i'm trying to get to them

……………………..

Tim Mader-Brown [4:51 PM]

yea, I haven't handled that yet

I guess we can just check that it's populated

………………………….

// TIM

the `@reuseData` tag is used for Features that can use 1 instance of the data created for all of the scenario's in the feature file

for example...the login tests will create all the different users on the 1st run, and then use the same users for every scenario without creating new ones each time

if the scenario doesn't change any data, then no need to create new data

……………………………..

Tim Mader-Brown [9:04 PM]

might be something to consider

to help your english (edited)

……………………..

Tim Mader-Brown [11:25 PM]

Hey

sorry, my computer started to auto-update so i went to lunch

nice

it expects an array

oh, you sent this during the training

…………………..

Tim Mader-Brown [7:51 PM]

yea

well

what are you testing

checkout the feature `Custom Field Visibility`

I started to dabble in creating data directly with rest calls

1. You can use the step `I create custom fields with payload 'whatever.json'` for this

2. Make sure that you aren't testing stuff that is already covered

………………...

Tim Mader-Brown [10:12 PM]

I just asked about how this works

I was wrong about the experiements

ignore that

just make the test go directly to the URL like you were doing

and don't worry about the navigation

I talked to that team and that part is not ready....and we will cover that in the regression plan

……………………...

Tim Mader-Brown [10:51 PM]

ok

i just talked to some developers

this only works in QA1

try it there

we can probably develop the test

but I don't think we will be able to have it running continuously

we need to sort some stuff out...and actually this test might move to the recruitments repository

but for now, just make the test work against QA1

………………...

Hey @Faruk, I've been working on fixing up TAI-136 all day, the TCM is pretty bad and the gherkin is bad too

if you want to see the beginnings of what I did it's here: TAI-136\_refactor

basically I'm splitting that test up into...I think 5 smaller tests

Yea, the token is not expected.

this actually looks like you are using the wrong version of node

can you print out the version of node?

Ok, I think we could have just merged TAI-136\_refactor

But either way

the demo might be another hour

do you want to write your question?

if you make a PR, you can share the thing easily

i'm in a meeting

I found the reason

the code isn't written

well, i don't know

maybe you found a bug

hey

good day

so, I'm looking at the TCM you are using for TAI-363

What you did is fine

it's the actual test design that is the problem

Tim Mader-Brown

May 23, 2019, 2:06 PM

John Shaw Max was going to take this one on, might be fine to put this in the on the PID board instead

John Shaw

May 23, 2019, 3:15 PM

Tim Mader-Brown I'm not sure that the work Max does goes just to the PID board yet if that is what you are referring to?

Tim Mader-Brown

August 7, 2019, 9:46 AM

Max Anderson were you going to look at this? Should this be a PID ticket?

Max Anderson

August 7, 2019, 9:56 AM

Tim Mader-Brown No, I don't currently have any plans to work on this.

Tim Mader-Brown

August 7, 2019, 12:09 PM

Can we put this on the PID backlog?

……………………...

Tim Mader-Brown [12:58 AM]

looking

lol

ok, it's doing what the TCM says

can you make a PR

I think it's pretty much gtg

I can't add comments cause its not a PR

i'm coming, just talking with Shamrat

i'll look, i bet it's a selenium grid issue

is that the right url?

i thought it was some php file

………………………

Tim Mader-Brown [1:33 AM]

@Raisul Islam Did you pull in a brand new story on the last day of the sprint while we have other stories in the To Do column?

we did it again, we have sprint but we are basically still doing Kanban

we pulled in a least 3 stories

lol, we started with 40pts needed to get down, jumped up to 78 pts and ended with 40pts

Tim Mader-Brown [1:48 AM]

@channel ok boys

our 1st goal this sprint is to finish everything you see on the board

IF you think "I need a test that is easier or I want to do something different" DO NOT pull something else into the sprint

if we have stuff in review...then review

if we have stuff that's blocked...see if you can figure out how to unblock it

……………………….

did this actually change something? Wrapping this in a retry doesn't make sense

this isn't the best coding...we should just declare our variables inside of conditionals then do the put after ward, there is unneeded rewritten code in here

should be something like this…

none of this is necessary, you can use the 'parseString' function from @fn-cucumber-js/utils/parser

Feature name should never have the word 'Verify', same with the filename

I tried to get rid of the 'workorder' folder, but it must have crept back in from a merge. This feature should be moved to features/create/createWorkOrder/pay, you'll probably have to create a new 'pay' folder

This test is redundant with "Apply Basic Project to Work Order Create" and therefore not needed

Feature name should never have the word 'Verify', same with the filename

I tried to get rid of the 'workorder' folder, but it must have crept back in from a merge. This feature should be moved to features/create/createWorkOrder/pay, you'll probably have to create a new 'pay' folder

This test is redundant with "Apply Basic Project to Work Order Create" and therefore not needed

Direct Deposit Batch Payment Process no need to added 'Amexfx'

can we rename the parameter 'type' instead of 'alias', we are already using 'alias' in a totally different way with the test data generator and it only makes it confusing to use here like this

can't this be done on line 145?

I don't think this is doing anything helpful, if anything making it more flakey

again, this retry is redundant without a condition and lowering the interval would make it more flakey

we only should be doing this after an action, not after verifying something. This will add slowness for no good reason, is this really needed?

yea, i'll be there

i'll ditch the demo

fyi

the `clickElement` function has retry already built into it....

so when you do a retry{clickElement}

there is a redundancy

if you want to take a longer amount of time you should increase the `waitForMs` time

no TCM-4488, I figure out why you made this

check it out

……………………………………..

Tim Mader-Brown

16 hours ago

Pooja Kharel ​the list to 'Create new client' is fixed, but the link to 'Create a new service contract' is still broken.

Pooja Kharel

14 hours ago

Tim Mader-Brown ​it should be fixed no

Tim Mader-Brown

11 hours ago

Edited

Looks fixed...I didn't create a TCM, instead I have a Jira to automate the all navigation on edit project page

……………………..

hey guys, here is another example of misusing the retry function

```await this.retry(async () => {

await this.driver.get(url);

await this.waitFor(this.pages.projectDetails.page, { timeoutMs: 20000 });

}, 15000, 500);

await waitForLoader(this);```

found it in woCommon

you can see that the waitFor function is wrapped in a retry

the retry is set to max at 15 seconds

meanwhile the waitFor is set to wait for a max of 20 seconds

in this case the retry will never get called

………………………….

There shouldn't be

Oh okay. That makes sense.

I rolled the dice on which user you were and came up wrong

……………………

Anyone else having trouble getting their local UI to make. I pulled develop this morning and now I'm getting this error whenever I try to start it up.

I've already npm install, make build and tried switching to other branches and doing the same but nothing seems to work.

looks like you might have already built ui

I think `make clean` and then `make` would work?

Got it working with her

……………….

Tim Mader-Brown [5:47 AM]

@Joshua Kapellen is going to look into this tomorrow, this started today sometime between 8 and 9am

I might have just accidentally sent all of you hundreds of emails

Forgiveness please

………………..

Abu Bakar Siddique [6:57 PM]

I wanted to do this

Tim Mader-Brown [6:58 PM]

i'm about to

Tim Mader-Brown [6:59 PM]

Bablu is running into an issue

Abu Bakar Siddique [6:59 PM]

pushed

Tim Mader-Brown [6:59 PM]

well, i might have to again

Tim Mader-Brown [7:00 PM]

i see

sorry I thought that Hasan didn't up the version

and therefore the tdg-service didn't have the right release

……………………………

Tim Mader-Brown [9:34 PM]

cool

on that topic

I think it might be good to start to think about data a little differently

I think we might be able to have tests that use data just from dummy data

and other tests generated on the fly

like the Login verification tests probably don't need to recreate new users everytime

just food for thought and an area we can probably improve in

……………………

Bablu Ahmed [9:52 PM]

`clickElement` is an action that's why I added. Now should I remove that one?

Tim Mader-Brown [9:53 PM]

oh, I see what you are saying

you removed the retry's

Bablu Ahmed [9:54 PM]

yep

Tim Mader-Brown [9:54 PM]

yea

that's good

if you look at the clickElement function we have a retry build in within the function

if we have issue with this step def, we can pass different timeout values into the clickElement function

…………...

Tim Mader-Brown [10:07 PM]

yea

i think we have a fix

i'm working on it here: https://jenkins.fndev.net/job/cuke\_sample/job/custom-field-fix/

you can start working on something else, i've almost got it fixed

Tim Mader-Brown [10:36 PM]

i'm going to run the cleanup job

……………..

Nick Yost 2:59 AM

@here We were informed of the issue earlier of the scheduled reports (Workorder Activity Reports) not sending. We don’t have a resolution on that as of now, but have filed this under MAR-4868 as a critical issue and will likely resolve it tomorrow. The reporting is still working from the platform itself, so for the immediate future, you may need to help your buyers download the scheduled reports manually.

…………..

Kemal Balioglu [10:05 PM]

@here All - we have another engineer joining us today. Please welcome Max Wanderman to our Field Nation Family. Max is joining the Ecosystems engineering team and will help our customers in integrating their solution with our system. Here is a message from Max: “Hi! My name is Max Wanderman, I come here after working as a Developer for BerganKDV. I am currently living in the Seward Neighborhood in Minneapolis with my 80lb Pitbull Pixel. One fun fact is that I have a Masters in Music. I look forward to meeting/working with all of you!”

……………………...

Tim Mader-Brown [9:29 PM]

I just went ahead and took over on TAI-377...that's what the above PR is

Tim Mader-Brown [9:30 PM]

sadness

i'm starting to think we need a new strategy to get this all working

i'm gonna try one thing on that branch

Many test failed