// TIM

Hey @Faruk, I've been working on fixing up TAI-136 all day, the TCM is pretty bad and the gherkin is bad too

if you want to see the beginnings of what I did it's here: TAI-136\_refactor

basically I'm splitting that test up into...I think 5 smaller tests

Yea, the token is not expected.

this actually looks like you are using the wrong version of node

can you print out the version of node?

Ok, I think we could have just merged TAI-136\_refactor

But either way

Tim Mader-Brown

May 23, 2019, 2:06 PM

John Shaw Max was going to take this one on, might be fine to put this in the on the PID board instead

John Shaw

May 23, 2019, 3:15 PM

Tim Mader-Brown I'm not sure that the work Max does goes just to the PID board yet if that is what you are referring to?

Tim Mader-Brown

August 7, 2019, 9:46 AM

Max Anderson were you going to look at this? Should this be a PID ticket?

Max Anderson

August 7, 2019, 9:56 AM

Tim Mader-Brown No, I don't currently have any plans to work on this.

Tim Mader-Brown

August 7, 2019, 12:09 PM

Can we put this on the PID backlog?

………………………

Tim Mader-Brown [1:33 AM]

@Raisul Islam Did you pull in a brand new story on the last day of the sprint while we have other stories in the To Do column?

we did it again, we have sprint but we are basically still doing Kanban

we pulled in a least 3 stories

lol, we started with 40pts needed to get down, jumped up to 78 pts and ended with 40pts

Tim Mader-Brown [1:48 AM]

@channel ok boys

our 1st goal this sprint is to finish everything you see on the board

IF you think "I need a test that is easier or I want to do something different" DO NOT pull something else into the sprint

if we have stuff in review...then review

if we have stuff that's blocked...see if you can figure out how to unblock it

……………………….

did this actually change something? Wrapping this in a retry doesn't make sense

this isn't the best coding...we should just declare our variables inside of conditionals then do the put after ward, there is unneeded rewritten code in here

should be something like this…

none of this is necessary, you can use the 'parseString' function from @fn-cucumber-js/utils/parser

Feature name should never have the word 'Verify', same with the filename

I tried to get rid of the 'workorder' folder, but it must have crept back in from a merge. This feature should be moved to features/create/createWorkOrder/pay, you'll probably have to create a new 'pay' folder

This test is redundant with "Apply Basic Project to Work Order Create" and therefore not needed

Feature name should never have the word 'Verify', same with the filename

I tried to get rid of the 'workorder' folder, but it must have crept back in from a merge. This feature should be moved to features/create/createWorkOrder/pay, you'll probably have to create a new 'pay' folder

This test is redundant with "Apply Basic Project to Work Order Create" and therefore not needed

Direct Deposit Batch Payment Process no need to added 'Amexfx'

can we rename the parameter 'type' instead of 'alias', we are already using 'alias' in a totally different way with the test data generator and it only makes it confusing to use here like this

can't this be done on line 145?

I don't think this is doing anything helpful, if anything making it more flakey

again, this retry is redundant without a condition and lowering the interval would make it more flakey

we only should be doing this after an action, not after verifying something. This will add slowness for no good reason, is this really needed?

yea, i'll be there

i'll ditch the demo

fyi

the `clickElement` function has retry already built into it....

so when you do a retry{clickElement}

there is a redundancy

if you want to take a longer amount of time you should increase the `waitForMs` time

no TCM-4488, I figure out why you made this

check it out

……………………………………..

Tim Mader-Brown

16 hours ago

Pooja Kharel ​the list to 'Create new client' is fixed, but the link to 'Create a new service contract' is still broken.

Pooja Kharel

14 hours ago

Tim Mader-Brown ​it should be fixed no

Tim Mader-Brown

11 hours ago

Edited

Looks fixed...I didn't create a TCM, instead I have a Jira to automate the all navigation on edit project page

……………………..

hey guys, here is another example of misusing the retry function

```await this.retry(async () => {

await this.driver.get(url);

await this.waitFor(this.pages.projectDetails.page, { timeoutMs: 20000 });

}, 15000, 500);

await waitForLoader(this);```

found it in woCommon

you can see that the waitFor function is wrapped in a retry

the retry is set to max at 15 seconds

meanwhile the waitFor is set to wait for a max of 20 seconds

in this case the retry will never get called

………………………….

There shouldn't be

Oh okay. That makes sense.

I rolled the dice on which user you were and came up wrong

……………………

Anyone else having trouble getting their local UI to make. I pulled develop this morning and now I'm getting this error whenever I try to start it up.

I've already npm install, make build and tried switching to other branches and doing the same but nothing seems to work.

looks like you might have already built ui

I think `make clean` and then `make` would work?

Got it working with her

……………….

Tim Mader-Brown [5:47 AM]

@Joshua Kapellen is going to look into this tomorrow, this started today sometime between 8 and 9am

I might have just accidentally sent all of you hundreds of emails

Forgiveness please

………………..

Abu Bakar Siddique [6:57 PM]

I wanted to do this

Tim Mader-Brown [6:58 PM]

i'm about to

Tim Mader-Brown [6:59 PM]

Bablu is running into an issue

Abu Bakar Siddique [6:59 PM]

pushed

Tim Mader-Brown [6:59 PM]

well, i might have to again

Tim Mader-Brown [7:00 PM]

i see

sorry I thought that Hasan didn't up the version

and therefore the tdg-service didn't have the right release

……………………………

Tim Mader-Brown [9:34 PM]

cool

on that topic

I think it might be good to start to think about data a little differently

I think we might be able to have tests that use data just from dummy data

and other tests generated on the fly

like the Login verification tests probably don't need to recreate new users everytime

just food for thought and an area we can probably improve in